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Two Generations of the Abraham and Fanny Block Family: Internal Migration, Economics, Family, and the Jewish Frontier

by

Mary L. Kwas*

Much of the Jewish experience in the American South shares attributes with that of Jews in small towns throughout the Midwest and West, leading scholars to debate whether there is a distinctive character of southern Jewishness. Marcie Cohen Ferris and Mark Greenberg, however, note that while American Jews share a Jewish heritage, individuals are influenced by their social environment, what they term the “power of place.” To them, southern culture is expressed through racial attitudes, politics, food choices, and other shared regional expressions. Thus, historians have examined how Jews fit into southern society by exploring the problems of slaveholding, racism, southern politics, and antisemitism. Examining southern Jewish experience through biographical studies, such as that of the Sheftalls of Georgia or Eugenia Levy Phillips during the Civil War, acknowledges individual expression within that cultural context.¹

The Abraham and Fanny Block family are counted among the earliest Jewish families to settle in Arkansas, arriving in the early 1820s during the territorial period. Two generations of the Block family made Arkansas their home until the Civil War era, a span of four decades. The Blocks became prominent and wealthy merchants in the southwestern town of Washington in Hempstead County, Arkansas. Historic Washington State Park preserves and
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interprets their residence as one of its architectural properties. In fact, in 1959 the Block House was the first house restored and opened to visitors by the Pioneer Washington Restoration Foundation and, in 1982 to 1983, one of the first properties in town to undergo archaeological exploration.2

Despite the Blocks’ importance in Arkansas’s early history, little has been written concerning them. Carolyn LeMaster’s *A Corner of the Tapestry*, which broadly covers the Jewish experience in Arkansas, provides the most comprehensive treatment thus far, although it, too, is limited in scope. Abraham and Fanny Block also are mentioned in earlier works about the Jews in Virginia. None of these works, however, traces the two generations of the Block family from cradle to grave nor explores their lives beyond Arkansas. This essay traces the family from their beginnings in Virginia, through their time in Arkansas, and ends with their migrations out of the state. It also explores their lives as Jews within the context of southern and American society.3

In doing so, several themes emerge. First, Jews migrated within America from place to place for better economic opportunities rather than being rooted, as emphasized in one school of southern Jewish history. Family connections often influenced the choice of location. Richard C. Wade in *The Urban Frontier* argues that cities actually formed the vanguard of western expansion, as opposed to Frederick Jackson Turner’s frontiersmen and farmers. Jews conformed to Wade’s pattern, moving from eastern seaboard cities to new towns on transportation corridors in the West. The Blocks’ activities and experiences are illustrative: they traveled from Richmond, Virginia, to seek new opportunities in new and established towns in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas.4

Second, a typical image of the western movement of Jews is of a male peddler who started with a pack, saved for a wagon, and eventually opened a business in a small town of promise, bringing family members to serve as clerks and partners. However, not all started this way. Abraham Block married into a prestigious Jewish family and lived in eastern centers of Jewish life before deciding to go west. Thus, he had the finances to skip the peddler stage and enter quite early into a partnership with a
gentile businessman and quickly rise into the wealthy merchant class. This business pattern continued with his sons as they transformed their resources into new opportunities in new places.

Third, among the strongest characteristics of the southern aspects of the Jewish experience are their support of the Confederacy and participation in slaveholding. Robert Rosen in *The Jewish Confederates* amply illustrates Jewish loyalty to the South through business expertise and military and political service. Numerous other studies documented widespread slaveholding among Jews. The Blocks’ identification with the South is demonstrated in each of these areas.⁵

Finally, family life patterns are extremely important for understanding how people lived, the roles they played, and the influences that impacted their lives. Jewish family networks linked communities even as family members dispersed. Patterns of births, marriages, and deaths are shared with the wider
community. Jews struggled over faith with individual family members embracing different levels of religious practice or assimilating into the Christian majority. The latter was commonly reflected through the choice of marriage partners. The actions of Block family members typified these patterns as well. Thus the Blocks provide an important case study illustrating numerous themes delineating the lives of Jews and their neighbors.

The Ancestry and Early Years of Abraham Block

Abraham (or Abram) Block was born on January 20, 1780, or 1781, in Schwihau, Bohemia, where the surname was originally spelled “Bloch.” Although his parents’ names are unknown, clues in the naming of his children suggest they might have been Simon and Rosina.

During the era of his birth and youth, most Bohemian Jews lived in small towns or villages in the central part of the country and spoke Czech and German as well as Yiddish. The large migrations of Jews from Bohemia did not begin until the 1820s. Small numbers did come to America earlier, however, including Abraham Block who immigrated around 1792 at the age of twelve. Although freedoms for Bohemian Jews improved in the 1780s after Emperor Joseph II issued the Edict of Toleration, harsh “family law” that limited legal Jewish marriages might have influenced Abraham’s family to send him to the New World. Even though some researchers have assigned the role of Block family pioneer to Abraham, this is rather a stretch for a twelve-year-old boy. Family connections, especially among Jews, provided the network to start boys and young men in business and served as the links to chain migration. Likely Abraham came with a group of older relatives or was sent to a relative already in America. If that relative was female, the relationship could be hidden by a different surname.

Earlier researchers associated Abraham with Simon and Jacob Block (probably father and son), and Jacob’s children, especially Eleazor and Louisa, but this appears to be based on time and space proximity, not on any direct evidence. Simon and Jacob resided in Virginia (Williamsburg and Richmond) and Maryland (Baltimore), from the 1790s through the 1820s, as did
Abraham. Although Malcolm Stern’s genealogies suggest Abraham was one of Jacob’s sons, Abraham was much older than Jacob’s other children. If they are related, Abraham more likely was a younger brother or cousin of Jacob. The Block family began life in America as merchants, and if Abraham was associated with them, even as a cousin, he probably learned the trade from them and lived with them before his marriage.  

Historian Isidor Bush considers the Block family (probably erroneously in terms of priority) the “first and most numerous
Jewish family” to settle west of the Mississippi River. Early family members lived in Arkansas, Illinois, Louisiana, Missouri, Ohio, and Texas, and many emigrated directly from Bohemia without settling first in the East. Eleazor Block, Jacob’s son and the first Jewish lawyer in St. Louis, Missouri, later settled in Cincinnati, Ohio. He induced the immigration of many relatives to Missouri, and his extolling of business opportunities in the West may have influenced Abraham’s move to Arkansas. Eleazor’s sister, Louisa, married Abraham Jonas in Kentucky, and later the family moved to Illinois. Jonas was a friend and supporter of Abraham Lincoln, but his children had divided loyalties to the North and South. Some relocated to New Orleans where they distinguished themselves in business and served the Confederacy. Several intermarriages joined the Block and Jonas families, including Abraham’s son, Augustus, who married the Jonas’s daughter Lucia.

Abraham’s arrival in America illustrates emerging patterns in American Jewish history. Jewish immigrants from the Ashkenazic German countries would flood into America in the middle 1800s and change the face of American Judaism, which had been dominated by Sephardic traditions. Connections through business and marriage, however, continued to provide opportunities to new Jewish settlers, as Abraham found in a new land. The Block and Jonas families also demonstrate that movement from Europe to America was but one step in the journey of dispersal through the United States.

The Ancestry and Early Years of Frances Isaacs

Unlike the shadowy beginnings of Abraham Block, Frances Isaacs boasted a venerable American ancestry. Frances, or Fanny, was born February 27, 1796, in Richmond, Virginia, the daughter of Isaiah Isaacs and Esther “Hetty” Hays.

Born about 1747, Isaiah Isaacs came to Virginia from Frankfort-am-Main before the Revolutionary War and became the first Jew to settle in Richmond. A silversmith by trade, he rose to success as a merchant and property owner, first under the name Isaiah Isaacs and Company and later in partnership with Jacob.
Cohen as Cohen and Isaacs, a firm known throughout Virginia. In 1787 the partners opened the Bird in the Hand tavern at the foot of Church Hill, one of Richmond’s earliest hotels, if not the first. In 1788, Isaiah won election to Richmond’s Common Hall. In 1792 he and Cohen dissolved the partnership, and Isaiah continued on his own, eventually moving to Charlottesville. Isaiah embraced his faith and ethnicity. Prideful of his Hebraic learning, he signed his name on legal documents in Hebrew. He contributed to Philadelphia’s Mikveh Israel synagogue and helped found Richmond’s first synagogue, Beth Shalome. He also donated land for the city’s first Jewish cemetery.17

Frances Isaacs Block portrait, artist unknown.
(Courtesy of the Carolyn LeMaster Arkansas Jewish History Collection, Richard C. Butler Center for Arkansas Studies, Central Arkansas Library System, Little Rock.)

Fanny’s mother, Hetty Hays, was Isaiah’s second wife. Isaiah’s rise in Virginia was equaled by Hetty’s lineage. Born about 1781 to David and Esther Etting Hays, Hetty was a descendant of prominent, early Sephardic families of New York and
Baltimore. Her father, a farmer, was the grandson of Solomon Hays who had come from Holland in the early 1700s with six sons who became patriots in the American Revolution. Hetty’s mother was the daughter of Asher Etting, a relative of the Ettings of Baltimore and Philadelphia who were distinguished in national and state affairs.\textsuperscript{18}

These earliest American Jewish families intermarried regularly and maintained family and business ties up and down the East Coast. According to Myron Berman, the Cohens and Ettings of Baltimore, along with the Marxes and Mordecais of Richmond, numbered among the elite of Jewish families in the eastern trade centers. Their status was based on economic success and long residence in America. Separated by distances, family connections were kept alive through visits, letters, and intermarriages. In Richmond alone, Berman notes, “the Marxes, the Mordecais, the Hayses, and the Myers were all interrelated.” As Theodore Rosengarten and Dale Rosengarten observe, “the Atlantic seaboard was a highway that connected Jewish communities and facilitated family and business alliances.”\textsuperscript{19}

As noted above, David and Esther Hays and their siblings supported the American Revolution. When David and other local men traveled away from home with the Revolutionary army, Tories raided their home village of Bedford, in Westchester County, New York. According to family tradition, Esther demonstrated her patriotism under extreme duress:

Mrs. Hays was at the time of the British raid lying upon a sick bed with a new-born infant at her breast. Her husband and eldest son were with the army, and she with her daughters and her baby boy were attended by an old negro slave named Darby and his wife whom she had brought with her from Baltimore, her home prior to her marriage. Not British soldiers, but Tory neighbors, entered the house on that eleventh day of July, 1779, and demanded of the sick woman information she was supposed to possess concerning the patriotic plans. On her refusal to play traitor, the house was fired with a brand from its own hearthstone. The mother and children were conveyed by the faithful negroes to a shelter in the
woods and there cared for until succor came to them
and to the others who suffered from Tory malice.20

The majority of American Jews supported the cause of independence. Notwithstanding their family and business connections to England, the British imposition of duties on imports affected Jewish merchants and influenced their loyalties. Sephardic families especially, with their longer residence in the colonies and established trade networks, became strong patriots. For example, Philip Minis of Savannah, Georgia, lent money for salaries and provisions for Revolutionary troops. Some Jews, however, joined Tory ranks, such as David Franks, who interacted with the British forces in Philadelphia, but lost his fortune with American victory.21

Not surprisingly, the Hays family owned slaves. Slaveholding in New York during this period was a common practice, although holdings mainly consisted of but one or two slaves. Discussion of eventual abolition began around the time of the American Revolution, but full emancipation was not achieved in New York until 1827.22

Hetty Hays and Isaiah Isaacs were married on May 7, 1795, in Richmond, Virginia. Nine months later Fanny was born, followed at two-year intervals by three siblings: David, Patsy, and Hays (or Hayes). In 1799 or 1800, Isaiah and Hetty moved the family from Richmond to Charlottesville, where Isaiah expanded his business interests. Nonetheless Isaiah maintained his Richmond real estate: five pieces of property including houses, shops, and the former Bird in the Hand tavern, all of which provided rental income.23 Isaiah’s mix of mercantile business and real estate holdings from which he earned rents was a typical pattern of Jewish upward mobility. Success in one area of business provided capital to expand into another. As historian Lee Shai Weissbach notes, “some who rose into the upper levels of the middle class did so by acquiring buildings, city lots, or farmland against a background of activity in other enterprises.”24

Probably in 1803, when Fanny was only seven years old, Hetty passed away, possibly from complications from the birth of Hays. Hetty’s early death that left such young children likely
spurred Isaiah to write his will, which he entered in the Albemarle County court record in 1803. In it he specified how he wanted his children cared for. He directed that his real estate not be sold but rather managed so as not to lose value and that the estate was not to be divided among the children until the youngest reached the age of twenty-one. He also directed that his children be educated and placed among “the families of respectable Jews to the end that they may be brought up in the religion of their forefathers.” He did not specify which family members or friends he preferred because his death did not seem imminent. Unlike the covenant of Jacob Mordecai, written after his wife’s death, which outlined his and their children’s responsibilities to each other, Isaiah’s will dealt more specifically with the distribution of property, including slaves.25 Isaiah’s younger brother, David Isaacs, also a merchant, moved from Richmond to Charlottesville by 1804, perhaps to assist Isaiah after Hetty’s death.26

Isaiah’s foresight for the welfare of his children was well-timed because he died just three years later, on April 2, 1806, leaving Fanny orphaned at age ten. David Isaacs served as one of the executors of the estate and manager of Isaiah’s Richmond property. Although he acted as the children’s financial guardian, his own family life was complicated enough that it does not appear that Isaiah’s children lived with him. Instead, the children might have lived with Hays relatives in New York. Certainly, they were not forgotten by them, for when their grandfather David Hays died five years later, he cited the children in his will.27

Fanny was part of a notable family spread throughout the eastern seaboard that maintained close ties through business and family connections. Even wealthy and established families moved to take advantage of business opportunities, following the expanding American frontier. They still retained ties linking family members. These ties ensured that children left orphaned by their parents’ early death, not an uncommon occurrence in the nineteenth century, were not abandoned. The importance of Judaism in their lives also was expressed through their associations and by direct means like Isaiah’s will.
Marriage and Life in Virginia

Where Abraham Block and Fanny Isaacs lived and met between 1806 and 1811 is unknown. With Isaacs family business interests in Charlottesville and Richmond, Fanny could have been living in either city or in New York with her Hays relatives. One early source states that Abraham resided in Charlottesville before settling in Richmond, but he only appears in business in Richmond.28 One of his descendants suggests that Abraham spent time in New York preparing to be a rabbi.29 New York Congregation Shearith Israel lists him before 1820 as a member, whereas Richmond’s Beth Shalome does not. If Fanny lived with her Hays relatives, New York may have been the place she and Abraham met. Although the location of their meeting would add to our understanding of their lives, its specifics are not important, since as noted above, Jewish families up and down the East Coast knew each other well and intermarried regularly. From Virginia to New York, families visited, relocated, conducted business, and worshiped at the few Jewish congregations in the large cities. Almost inevitably their paths crossed.

Descendents of the colonial Sephardim, due to their long residency and success in America, tended to consider themselves of higher status than the Ashkenazim from the German states, especially after the overwhelming immigration of German Jews that began in the 1820s. Ashkenazim who arrived earlier, however, did find acceptance in Sephardic communities if they were willing to accept Sephardic customs. This acceptance extended to intermarriage, a notable example being that of Gershom Mendes Seixas’s daughter who married Israel Baer Kursheedt.30 Fanny was descended from Sephardic families on her mother’s side, but her father was Ashkenazic German. As a pre-Revolutionary War settler successful in business, he became acceptable as a marriage partner. Abraham Block did not arrive in America until the end of the eighteenth century, but his young age may have allowed him to easily adopt Sephardic customs, and his business prospects must have been promising enough for Fanny’s Sephardic relatives to approve the marriage.
On October 2, 1811, on the eve of the Festival of Tabernacles, Abraham Block and Fanny Isaacs exchanged marriage vows at New York’s Shearith Israel, America’s oldest congregation. Fanny was fifteen and Abraham twice that age. Such an age disparity was not unusual at the time among Jews or in the wider American population. After a marriage children arrived soon and frequently, and a man had to be reasonably well-established in order to provide for them. Men commonly spent their twenties getting established in business and delayed seeking wives until their early thirties, when they sought young and healthy women at the beginning of their childbearing years. Fanny’s family may have looked with favor on a match with a mature, established Jewish businessman expected to be a good provider. The marriage even may have been arranged.

After the wedding, Abraham and Fanny settled in Richmond, where Abraham may already have been established in business or went to manage the Isaacs’s properties his wife would eventually inherit. Beginning in 1814 Abraham began to appear in the public record, indicating his greater involvement in the Richmond community. He is mentioned in a gossipy family letter written March 22, 1814, by Hazan Gershom Mendes Seixas of New York to his daughter Sarah Kursheedt who lived in Richmond with her husband, Israel Baer Kursheedt, a merchant who was considered the most learned Jew in the United States by his contemporaries. Seixas’s letter discussed a wedding in New York that included some of Fanny’s relatives. Although the actual content of the letter is inconsequential, it does indicate that Abraham Block knew and socialized with important members of the Jewish communities of both Richmond and New York.

A number of Richmond’s Jews, including Abraham, actively participated in patriotic service by joining the Richmond Light Infantry Blues, one of the country’s oldest military companies. Jewish residents were counted among the founders of the Blues, and, during the War of 1812, Isaac Seixas, Manuel Judah, and Jacob Phillips, as well as Abraham Block, were on the payroll. Abraham served from August 25 to October 5, 1814, as a member of Captain William Murphy’s Company of Light Infantry Blues,
part of Randolph’s First Corps d’Elite. He never rose above the rank of private, but typical of southern tradition, the service earned him in later years the honorific “Captain Block.”

In 1815 Abraham joined his signature with that of sixteen other Jewish residents of Richmond, petitioning the General Assembly to incorporate a company to improve navigation on the James River, the lack of which, the petitioners contended, slowed the progress of Richmond’s commercial life. All of these associations demonstrate Abraham’s involvement not only with the Jewish community of Richmond, but also with the city’s commercial sector.

Also in 1815 the Blocks paid personal property taxes for the first time. In previous years, Abraham was not listed among the Richmond residents required to pay such taxes, apparently because he did not own the kinds of property then being taxed: slaves, horses, mules, or carriages. In 1815, however, in order to raise money to offset the costs of the War of 1812, certain items of furniture and luxury goods were added to the list of taxable property, and the Blocks paid their share. That year they owned, in addition to two slaves, one mahogany bureau, bookcase, or secretary desk; one wardrobe or clothespress of some kind of wood; one mahogany dining table; twelve mahogany dining chairs; two mahogany tea or card tables; three pictures, under twelve inches, in gilt frames; one silver teapot; one plated urn, coffee pot or teapot; four plated candlesticks, lamps, or lighting devices; one cut-glass bowl or pitcher; two single-case gold watches; and one set of window curtains of calico, dimity, or marseilles.

Mahogany furniture and silver teapots suggest the Blocks’ middle class status, although the Blocks did not own many other taxable items such as clocks, chests of drawers, sideboards, mahogany bedsteads, sofas, wall mirrors, large musical instruments, or carpets. Since this was only three and a half years after their marriage, many of these taxed items may represent wedding gifts. In the following years, taxable items returned to the pre-1815 list, and Abraham appears regularly, but not yearly, on the rolls, owning one to three slaves until 1826 when the family moved to Arkansas.
At this point in their history, Abraham and Fanny should be considered at the beginning, not only of their married life, but also of their economic life. Fanny’s family connections permitted an automatic bid into Jewish high society and provided material trappings—household items, property, and slaves—suitable to their social status, but their holdings were still modest, as indicated in part by the personal property list cited above. James Hagy notes that in Charleston about 83 percent of Jewish urban households had slaves, but most had no more than three, equal to the Blocks’ situation. Slaves as servants were often given as gifts, and, in the Blocks’ case, these slaves were most likely the remnants of Isaiah Isaacs’s estate, and thus only a temporary holding. Although the Blocks would inherit valuable business property in Richmond from Isaiah’s estate in 1823, it does not appear that Abraham Block owned any business property prior to that. Thus, the Blocks had a foundation of resources and contacts to set their course toward prosperity, but the work to make it happen lay before them.37

While Abraham built a public presence in the Richmond business community, the couple also began to build their family. As was typical for the time, the first child usually arrived near the first anniversary of the marriage, followed by additional children at regular, two-year intervals, which was a normal fertility pattern for lactating women. Children were especially at risk of early death, with about 15 percent dying before the age of one, and another 8 to 10 percent dying before maturity.38 Fanny gave birth to at least seven children in Virginia: Hester (September 1, 1813),39 Simon (January 6, 1815),40 Rosina (circa 1816),41 Isaac, or Isaacs, (November 12, 1817),42 Augustus (circa 1818),43 Henry (circa 1820),44 and David (February 12, 1823),45 and possibly two who died as infants.46 This spacing is more frequent than would be expected, suggesting that she had the services of a wet nurse, often a family slave. If she lost two additional children, that rate was also somewhat higher than normal, but amply offset by
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Fanny’s fertility. In 1820 Abraham Block first appears in the Virginia census. Besides their large family, the household also contained a young adult male, perhaps Fanny’s brother Hays.

By 1822 Abraham took over management of the Isaacs’s property in Richmond from David Isaacs. Records of the Mutual Assurance Society, which provided fire insurance and required reevaluation of the property every seven years, show that Isaiah Isaacs owned five structures plus one lot on Main Street in Richmond. David Isaacs managed the property and continued the insurance of the estate for Isaiah’s children, being listed on the 1816 declaration as executor. By the next declaration in 1822, David is no longer listed, and only the names of the living heirs—Hays, Fanny and Abraham—appear. David did not die until 1837, but by 1822 Abraham was in his early forties. The transfer of the property management was likely a mutual business decision. In 1823, when Fanny’s brother reached adulthood, Hays Isaacs went to court to have the estate split between them. Abraham and Fanny received one house and two grocery/dwelling houses, one of which was the former Bird in the Hand tavern. Rented to various businesses and occupants, the properties provided steady income. The Blocks, therefore, did not sell them to finance their move to Arkansas. In fact, after moving to Arkansas, Abraham renewed the insurance on the properties in 1829 and 1836.

The property division was recorded on February 10, 1823, and two days later Fanny gave birth to David. Shortly thereafter Abraham left Richmond to reestablish himself in the West. The motivation for forty-two-year-old Abraham’s departure from an established business in Richmond and from his family with seven small children to move halfway across the country to the frontier is unclear. Perhaps competition in Richmond limited Abraham’s business prospects. The wave of new Jewish immigrants from Europe had begun, resulting in the doubling of the Jewish population in America during the next two decades. By 1820 the Jewish population of Richmond increased to thirty-two families from only six in the 1780s. Richmond also saw an increase in Jewish merchants from Europe, with at least thirty listed in the Richmond Directory in 1819. These new immigrants reinforced the Jewish
population of Richmond as children of the earlier generation moved to the North, but original families looked with condescension on the new arrivals.\textsuperscript{51} Abraham faced competitive pressure from these new merchants during the economic downturn following the War of 1812, which deepened into a depression by 1819, all of which may have affected Abraham’s business prospects. Indeed Abraham and Fanny mortgaged several of Isaiah’s Richmond properties from 1818 to 1821, suggesting that Abraham faced difficult financial times or had already decided on relocating. As bold as the move was, the frontier may well have presented the best opportunity for success. While the population as a whole expanded westward after the War of 1812, economic conditions slowed the movement until the 1830s.\textsuperscript{52} Thus, Abraham relocated ahead of the curve, again suggesting limited business success in Richmond but opportunity provided by Fanny’s inheritance.

\textit{Settlers in a Frontier Town}

From 1823 to 1825 Abraham probably spent time in New Orleans establishing contacts with merchants and wholesalers, and determining the best location for resettlement. Most Jews resorted to peddling to establish themselves in business and explore new areas. Hasia Diner identifies peddling as an almost universal male Jewish experience in the nineteenth century that acted as a “powerful vehicle for fostering Jewish migrations out of more stable, but economically declining regions, to new lands . . . open for settlement and business.”\textsuperscript{53} While Abraham might have peddled for a brief period to reconnoiter, he was both older and more established than the typical peddler, with financial resources from the mortgages and rentals of the Richmond properties. Although looking for new opportunity, his money and experience likely enabled him to skip the peddling stage and quickly find a business partner.

Two years after leaving Virginia, Abraham arrived in Arkansas as a partner with John Johnson, the first merchant in the town of Washington. Arkansas seems a surprising choice for relocation and business opportunities. Richmond was a state capital, an industrial center, and, by 1820, the fourth largest center of American
Jewry. New Orleans served as the port for international trade serving the entire Mississippi River basin, thus seeming the more logical choice for relocation. Arkansas, on the other hand, had only become an independent territory in 1819 when split off from the Missouri Territory. As historian Charles Bolton notes, both Louisiana and Missouri had stronger natural advantages, and Arkansas “was simply off the beaten track.” This proved even truer for the western counties, distant from the Mississippi River transportation route and adjoining Indian Territory. Migration to Arkansas proceeded slowly in the 1820s and picked up in the 1830s, but the state remained underpopulated even into the 1850s with a population density of only four persons per square mile, by definition a frontier. In 1820 Hempstead County, in which Washington was located, was one of only seven large counties in the state. Its population of just 2,248 made it the second largest.54

When Abraham arrived, Washington was barely a settled village formed around a Methodist camp ground. About fifteen miles from the Red River, navigation remained blocked until 1838 by a great raft of clogged timber. Washington’s strongest advantage lay in its location along the Southwest Trail, a major corridor from St. Louis to Texas. Moreover, in 1824 Washington was selected as the Hempstead County seat. These factors positioned Washington as a business and government center for the southwestern part of the territory, serving outlying farmers and planters, as well as migrants traveling the Southwest Trail. Towns like Washington typically attracted Jews in the nineteenth century. As Lee Shai Weissbach notes, these market centers, located along routes of commerce, attracted concentrations of consumers, the basic requirement for merchants. Despite its small size and frontier setting, Washington was chosen as a prime location by Abraham Block, an ambitious merchant willing to take a chance.55

Family Life in Arkansas

The story of the Blocks thus far illustrates broader patterns of both southern and American Jewish life. Personally and economically, their stories reflect the typical life and death dramas of the time and place. Following Abraham, Fanny Block left her home in
Virginia in 1826 with seven youngsters in tow, to begin a new life in a nascent town on the Arkansas frontier. Although their family had already reached the average size for the time, they added five more babies after arriving in the west, following the trend of greater offspring in frontier settings. \(^56\) Virginius was born en route to Arkansas on May 1, 1827, in Opelousas, in south-central Louisiana. \(^57\) His birth was followed by Eugene (circa 1829), \(^58\) Juliet Pauline (September 1, 1830), \(^59\) Ellen (circa 1833), \(^60\) and Laura (September 20, 1835). \(^61\)

Washington must have seemed extremely remote to Fanny, who was accustomed to the bustle of Richmond. New Orleans, which served as a wholesale center for Abraham and a religious community for the Blocks, was far removed from Washington. Essentially, getting there from Washington involved crossing the entire state of Louisiana from the northwest to the southeast corner, covering some 450 miles (calculated by twenty-first century roads). Travel in the 1820s and 1830s was convoluted and difficult by horse-drawn vehicles over poor roads or by riverboat where available. Thus, trips to New Orleans for Fanny were probably few and far between.

Although the Blocks may have relied on New Orleans for occasional interaction with other Jews, they did not seem to grow such a community in Washington. Abraham and Fanny did not attract other family members or Jewish friends to settle near them, although such a pattern was common in the South, both among Jews and gentiles. \(^62\) Fanny’s brother, Hays, left Charlottesville, Virginia, about 1826 and followed them to Arkansas by 1840. Hays settled not in Washington, however, but halfway across the state in Conway County. \(^63\) Other Blocks settled elsewhere in Arkansas beginning in the 1840s, although no direct kinship can be ascertained. The closest relative to settle near the Blocks was the Bohemian-born physician Augustus E. Block, who opened his practice in nearby Fulton about 1845. In his will, he names as executor Abraham’s son David, whom he identifies as his cousin. Although other unidentified family relatives, hidden by female married surnames, may have settled nearby, the Blocks apparently did not attract
Jewish friends and relatives to build new lives in Hempstead County.\textsuperscript{64}

Moreover, the Blocks do not seem to have formed associations with Jewish families or communities in other larger towns in Arkansas. Little Rock, about 115 miles to the northeast, would have been a likely candidate, being the capital and located along the Southwest Trail, but there is no evidence of visits by the family. Even into the 1850s, Arkansas’s population was sparse, and the Jewish population before the Civil War even more so. Carolyn LeMaster notes that in 1850 Jews in Arkansas numbered about 400 out of a general population of about 210,000. The first Jewish congregations in the state were not organized until the end of the Civil War. Only Little Rock, Pine Bluff, Helena, and Camden formed congregations between 1866 and 1870, past the time of the Blocks’ key years in residence. Texarkana, about thirty-five miles to the southwest along the Southwest Trail, was not founded until the middle 1870s and by 1878 counted a Jewish population of only forty-four. By that same date, even Little Rock, the state’s largest city, boasted a Jewish population of only 655 out of over 13,000 residents. Thus the Blocks were isolated as Jews in Arkansas, with New Orleans serving as their religious community.\textsuperscript{65}

Such isolation was not unknown as Jewish families sought places where they could prosper. In Emily Bingham’s work on the Mordecais, for example, Jacob Mordecai brought his family to Warrenton, North Carolina, during the 1790s where, initially, he opened a store. Much like the Blocks’ situation in Washington, Warrenton was also a county-seat town serving a plantation area, and the Mordecais were its first Jewish settlers, just fifteen years after the town was founded. Stella Suberman provides another example in her memoir in which her family members were the first Jews to open a store in a small town in Tennessee as late as the 1920s.\textsuperscript{66}

In his first years in Washington, Abraham sold general merchandise in a two-story building large enough for a tavern and store that was owned by John Johnson and probably located at the corner of Franklin and Hamilton streets. The family likely lived over the store. An apocryphal story alleges that Fanny Block
refused to come to Arkansas until Abraham built her a house, which is considered to be their two-story residence on Conway Street, now the oldest two-story house in Washington. Abraham did not purchase the property until 1832, however, at least four years after the family arrived in Arkansas. Nonetheless, Fanny probably was pleased about moving into her new home. It boasted two large rooms downstairs to be used as a dining room and a combination sitting room/parents’ bedchamber. A smaller room at the back of the house may have served Abraham as an office. Upstairs the boys shared one large bedroom and the girls another. Wide hallways separated the rooms up and down and provided extra living space. The two-room kitchen, typical for the time and area, was a separate building set just behind the house. The Blocks already had furniture and household items to move into the new house, but perhaps Fanny selected a new dinner service, such as the purple floral pattern, called Water Lilly, that archeologists found in the house lot. She might also have chosen a new tea service, like the delicately hand-painted pieces of Cornflower with its stylized floral of green leaves, blue-dotted flowers, and black twining stems. The house became the center of Block family life and Abraham’s home for the remainder of his life. It also reflected the Blocks’ prosperity. Their federal-style house was one of the few two-story homes in the community at this early date, and the style is recognized as being associated with “economic success in an agricultural society.” In fact, the house stood above the one-story homes of many of the town’s other prosperous merchants, doctors, and lawyers.67

Although the new Block house must have seemed large and spacious to the family, living quarters were cramped by modern standards. Little private space existed with such large families. As if a dozen people in a house were not enough, families commonly took in boarders. The Block listing in the census of 1850, for example, shows thirteen people occupying the house including son David’s wife and first-born daughter and three students. There also would have been enslaved blacks attached to the household, some of whom likely slept in the hallways and the detached kitchen.
Women’s primary responsibility in this period centered on the family and household management, although Jewish women felt less constricted by the separate spheres of white Protestant marriages. They viewed themselves as equals in the marital partnership and often managed the family business when needed. While Fanny Block might have helped with the business in Virginia, her large and young family in the first years in Arkansas probably precluded much direct involvement. Nevertheless, the contributions and sacrifices that she and other women made in creating and maintaining a home life benefited their husbands and children.68

Fanny’s job of overseeing the daily needs of such a large household so that everything ran smoothly required management skills. Since rarely did all family members stay healthy, caring for the sick served as a major part of household work. With few effective ways to treat diseases, nursing care could be prolonged. Some people remained sickly for years or lingered for a long period before death took them. Infants were especially at risk and often did not survive their first year, but death took its toll at all ages.69
Within about a year of arriving in Washington, the Blocks lost their son Isaac, just two months shy of his eleventh birthday. His headstone is the second oldest in Washington’s Pioneer Cemetery. Four and a half years later, in 1833, the Blocks lost their oldest son, Simon, perhaps from cholera, which had reached New Orleans the previous fall. At eighteen, Simon likely had been working in his father’s store for a number of years and was poised to become a junior partner. The loss must have hit the family hard. Twenty years later their youngest daughter, eighteen-year-old Laura, passed away after suffering with an illness for a year. Her obituary in the *Washington Telegraph* described her last months: “Her health gradually and almost imperceptibly seemed to decline and baffle the skill of the best attendant physicians, until, at last, like the expiring taper, the feeble flame of life gently and quietly flickered for the last time on earth, and left its frail tenement alone to receive the tears of doting friends.”

Women’s lives encompassed the cycle of birth, childrearing, illness, and death. Bringing new life into the world provided joy but also fear, as infants and mothers endured increased risk of death. Complications of childbirth took many women’s lives. Even those who survived, such as Fanny, faced the pain and discomforts of frequent pregnancies. Fanny gave birth to her first child when she was about sixteen years old and her last at about thirty-nine. The children arrived at frequent intervals, usually less than two years apart. For some twenty-four years of her life, Fanny endured few days (except when Abraham left Virginia for Arkansas) when neither pregnant nor nursing an infant.

While Fanny survived her pregnancies, her daughter, Juliet Pauline, did not. She married Orville Jennings, a young lawyer, on October 8, 1851, in Washington, and gave birth to three boys and a girl before her death in childbirth with her fifth child in 1858, when she was just twenty-seven years old. Orville recorded the deaths in the family Bible:

Died, at Washington, Arkansas, on the 29th day of July, A.D. 1858, at 2 o’clock p.m., of the premature birth of a son, produced by severe attack of bilious fever, Juliet Pauline Jennings, wife of Orville Jennings. She has gone
to Heaven—My Angel Wife—May God bless her memory to my good—Her disconsolate husband, Orville.

Born, on Thursday, the 29th day of July A.D. 1858, at Washington, Arkansas, prematurely, of seven months gestation—a beautiful boy, whose birth caused his mother’s death and who survived her only two days, I named him Julius, for his mother, fifth child of Orville and Juliet P. Jennings.

And then, less than a year later:

Died, at Washington, Arkansas, on Wednesday night at 12 o’clock, the 11th day of May, A.D. 1859, of nervous fever and convulsions, after a sickness of 15 days, Laura Ida, third child and daughter of Orville and Juliet P. Jennings. She has gone to her mother.

Virginius also lost his first wife prematurely. He married Lenora Tunstall in Dallas County, Arkansas, on July 5, 1860. They had a son in Arkansas and another in Texas before her death on May 17, 1866, about the time a third child might have been expected. Virginius brought Lenora’s body back to Arkansas for burial; members of both families were living there. Virginius then courted Lenora’s older sister, Sarah, and married her on September 3, 1868, in Washington. After the marriage, the family returned to Texas, where Sarah bore two children, both daughters.

Childbirth, the inability to limit or lengthen the spacing of pregnancies, and child rearing took physical and mental tolls on women that are reflected in the lingering illnesses and early deaths on record. Women’s writing in letters and diaries also express their fear or exhaustion with the rigors of reproduction. Rachel Mordecai’s story, as told by Emily Bingham, provides an enlightening example. An accomplished teacher, Rachel married a widower with seven children when she was thirty-three and produced four additional children, the last when she was forty-one. Rachel wrote of her anger at her continuing pregnancies and her impatience with raising and educating more children. The
pregnancies, complicated by flare-ups of malaria and near-death crises, compromised her health until her father’s last illness brought her to her own death before the age of fifty.76

Although women experienced special risk with childbirth, men did not escape early deaths. Diseases and injuries took husbands and fathers, leaving widows to struggle with providing for young children. The Blocks’ second-oldest daughter, Rosina, lost her husband, Edwin Brittin, when her children were young. Brittin, probably the relative of another town merchant, and Rosina were married on February 14, 1838. He died between 1844 and 1850. Rosina and Edwin had three boys and two girls. Their oldest daughter was at most twelve years old at the time of
her father’s death. Rosina also lost three of her five children before they reached adulthood. In 1853 she relocated to New Orleans with her remaining children, which provided her son Abraham with the opportunity for a profession in his uncles’ business.77

Despite illnesses and early deaths, the Block family expanded through marriages and the births of grandchildren. The Blocks’ oldest daughter, Hester, married Dr. Benjamin P. Jett, one of Washington’s earliest and most important physicians and a community leader, on February 21, 1833, shortly after the Blocks occupied their new home. Jett served several terms in the Arkansas General Assembly and won election as speaker of the state’s House of Representatives in 1852. He also served as registrar of the U.S. land office in Washington before the Civil War. Hester and Benjamin had thirteen children, three of whom died in infancy.78 Their first child, a boy named Edward, was Abraham and Fanny’s first grandchild. Because of the length of childbearing years, the oldest children in a family were sometimes a generation older than the youngest. Fanny and Abraham’s last child, Laura, was born within a year of Edward—aunt and nephew were the same age.

Rosina followed Hester in marriage in 1838. Next David married Almedia Trimble on March 16, 1848. Almedia’s uncle, Dr. Charles B. Mitchel, was selected by the Arkansas state legislature to be a U.S. senator just prior to secession. Almedia gave birth to five girls and two boys.79 Henry married a woman named Laura sometime around 1851 while he was living in Fulton, Arkansas. They had two girls and a boy, the first born in Arkansas and the other two in Louisiana.80 Henry’s sister Juliet married the same year. Virginius married last in 1860 and again in 1868. Eugene and Ellen never married.

In 1853, after his move to New Orleans, Augustus married into the Jonas family. Lucia Jonas, his spouse, was the daughter of Abraham Jonas and Louisa Block. As previously noted, Abraham Jonas was a close friend and strong supporter of Abraham Lincoln. Lucia’s brother, Benjamin Franklin Jonas, rose to prominence as a lawyer in New Orleans and a leader in the Democratic
Party, becoming the first practicing Jew elected to the United States Senate. Augustus and Lucia had three boys and three girls.81

Small-town life limited the availability of Jewish marriage partners, but even in cities with larger Jewish communities marriage to non-Jews was common. Mixed marriage did not necessarily mean the loss of Jewish identity, since in some marriages spouses retained their individual beliefs and did not convert. Children of these marriages often were lost to Judaism, nonetheless. In marrying outside the faith, however, Jews frequently married into socially and economically successful families, indicating their acceptance as desirable marriage partners.82

Ties to Judaism

As one of the earliest, if not the first, Jewish families to settle in Arkansas, questions arise about how or if the Blocks followed the tenets and practiced the rites of Judaism. They coped in a frontier community, separated from other Jews and without the ritual specialists a congregation could provide. While in Richmond the Blocks belonged to an established Jewish community where they could easily participate in ritual. It was another matter living on the Arkansas frontier. The Blocks must have been aware that it would be difficult to honor their faith as isolated Jews in their new home. Were they, even in Virginia, flexible in the practice of their faith and, therefore, not bothered by the problems isolation posed?

Descendants of colonial American Jews had begun to acculturate, in contrast with the more observant Jews arriving from Europe in the 1820s. Intermarriage, non-observance of dietary laws and other traditions prevailed among many older Jewish families including the Blocks. As Hasia Diner observes about this period, “The fact that individual men and women chose to migrate . . . far from the safety net of religious services, proved that they had no real concern for Jewish life. Had they been truly committed to living as Jews and observant of tradition, they would never have picked such places,
bereft as they were of the synagogue, circumciser, *shokhet* . . . and *mikvah.*

Perhaps this is too harsh a judgment, since some Jews responded to their circumstances by choosing to blend tradition with the practices of American society, observing Jewish laws and rituals as they could and desired in their private lives. Some kept prayer books and refused to engage in business on the Sabbath and holidays. Some isolated Jews made use of itinerant mohels and tried to observe at least some elements of keeping a kosher table, usually avoiding the consumption of pork, or more closely following dietary laws during Passover. Many transported their dead to cities with Jewish cemeteries for burial.

The structure of society in America, however, was “conducive to religious heterodoxy,” according to Myron Berman. As an example, Berman discusses the marriage of Jacob Cohen of Richmond to a woman he was forbidden to marry by traditional law. Whereas in Europe religious authority would have been sanctioned by the enforced limits of Jewish communities, in America there were options for associating with specific congregations or communities. American freedom not only allowed Cohen to find a way to marry Esther Mordecai, but to continue his association with Judaism and even to rejoin the congregation that had earlier barred the marriage.

Examining the Blocks’ living situation, Jewish associations, and evidence from marriages and deaths provides a window into their religious practices and identity. Bertram Wallace Korn’s work on *The Early Jews of New Orleans* is especially helpful since New Orleans housed the community of Jews closest to the Blocks and the one in which they were documented participants. Abraham Block became a member of New Orleans’s first congregation, Shanarai-Chasset, incorporated in March 1828. The congregation was unusual, however. Because so many of the town’s Jewish men married non-Jewish women, the congregants made an exception contrary to Jewish law in order to include their wives and children. Korn states:

> [When the founders] drew up the constitution and by-laws of the congregation they broke every Jewish law in their formula-
tion of rules and regulations which concerned [non-Jewish] wives and the children of intermarriages. To have forbidden intermarried men from joining the congregation or contributing to it, to have refused any recognition of Christian wives or unconverted children of Christian mothers, would have been tantamount to rejecting a large proportion of the Jews in town.87

As early as 1807, American Jews recognized that those who settled in New Orleans tended to neglect the duties required by their faith. A critical description of New Orleans Jewish life in 1842 reads: “in only four Jewish homes in town were forbidden foods avoided; in only two was the Sabbath strictly observed; two-thirds of the Jews did not have their sons circumcised; not even fifty of the Jewish boys could read Hebrew; the synagogue could accommodate only fifty persons, but was thinly attended even on the High Holy Days.”88 While this description cannot speak specifically for Abraham and Fanny, it nonetheless suggests that if they were lax in their religious practice, few of the Jews they associated with in New Orleans would have considered that a problem.

Another indication of the maintenance of their religious practice is their apparent nonobservance of Jewish dietary laws. Living apart from a Jewish community, if the Blocks had wished to keep a kosher household, they would have had to bear the responsibility for the entire process, with Abraham serving as his family’s shokhet. Archaeological excavations conducted at the Block house lot in Washington revealed a trash pit dating to the 1840s beneath the remnants of the detached kitchen. Because the trash pit was sealed by the construction of the kitchen, items found within can be confidently associated with the Block family. Among the animal bones—the remains of meals and food preparation—most were from cows (about 43 percent), followed by pig (about 12 percent), deer (about 9 percent), and fowl (chickens, turkeys, and ducks) (about 8 percent). Remnants of small game and fish, including non-kosher catfish, were also identified.89

Evidence of butchering indicated that the largest percentage of bones came from meaty portions, such as roasts and hams, which could serve a large family. Pigs’ feet and bones suitable for
soups and stews also were found. In addition, the butchering pattern and remains indicate that pigs were slaughtered on site but cows were not, suggesting the purchase of beef cuts in the market place or taken in trade, while raising pigs on site. The Block trash pit contained meats forbidden by Jewish law. Although it is possible that the Blocks kept their own household kosher and fed the pork and catfish to their slaves, such a practice would have been difficult to maintain, especially as the slaves cooked the family meals, and the same kitchen served all. If the Blocks had wanted to maintain kashrut, it would have been more practical to train the slaves in Jewish practices than to follow two different and conflicting methods of food preparation. According to Marcie Cohen Ferris discovered, southern Jews adapted and blended their traditional foodways with regional practices. Jewish families might avoid forbidden foods only on the Sabbath or holy days. Working alongside Jewish women, African American cooks learned to make Jewish specialties and blended traditions. According to Ferris, Jews also wanted to demonstrate their loyalty and solidarity with southern white society, and what better way than by eating the same foods as their neighbors. Therefore, by not following strict dietary prohibitions and by eating the same foods as their non-Jewish neighbors, the Blocks would have exhibited southern Jewish adaptability. Yet this pattern was as much national as regional. Jews from the same background living in similar circumstances throughout the country behaved much like the Blocks.

The marriages of the Block children indicate the extent to which the Blocks’ Jewish heritage eroded in the second generation. On an individual level, many factors went into the choice of a spouse. Men waited to marry until they were established in their careers, usually in their early thirties, and made the offer of marriage, but women could refuse based on issues of position, finances, future prospects, community respect, and family duty, all of which could be more important than romance or attraction. Jews had the additional choice of marriage within or outside of the faith as well as the choice of remaining single. As Malcolm Stern noted for the period 1776 to 1840, marriageable Jewish
women were in short supply even in the larger cities with Jewish population centers along the eastern seaboard, resulting in 28 percent of Jewish marriages being with non-Jews. Even having the choice of Jewish mates, however, did not preclude choosing a non-Jewish spouse when mutual attraction was strong. When Jews did marry Christians, however, spouses came from the middle and even upper classes, indicating the Jews’ status and acceptance as good marriage partners.

Finding Jewish mates in frontier Arkansas proved problematic, and only after moving to New Orleans did Augustus marry Lucia Jonas, a woman from a well-known Jewish family. Except for Eugene and Ellen, who did not marry, the other Block children married Christians. Their marriage partners were from well-to-do families that included lawyers, businessmen, and medical professionals. Certainly if marriage within the faith had been a primary concern, other Block children could have looked to New Orleans for partners as well. The fact that they found spouses in Arkansas suggests otherwise.

While Eugene and Ellen may have chosen not to marry when unable to find Jewish spouses, there were many factors that affected the decision to remain single, not just the lack of or rejection of a proposal. Among Jewish women of that period, Rebecca Gratz provides the most renowned single role model. Gratz was held in high esteem for her supposed refusal to marry the man she loved because he was not Jewish, and instead she chose celibacy and a life of service. But, as revealed by her biographer, Dianne Ashton, the romantic story is probably a myth, as Rebecca’s decision to remain single appears based on her distrust of marriage and the freedom singleness provided to pursue her work. In fact, only half of the ten Gratz siblings who lived to adulthood married, and only three of those married Jews. Of the thirty-one in the next generation, twelve never married. Among Jacob Mordecai’s thirteen children, four remained unmarried even though siblings found both Jewish and Christian spouses. It was not unusual at the time for large families to have several single members. Eugene and Ellen’s burial locations in a non-Jewish cemetery, discussed below, indicate that the lack of
Jewish partners may not have been the determining factor in their single status.93

For families like the Blocks who consciously moved far from Jewish population centers, finding Jewish marriage partners for their children may have been of minor concern. Korn provides a context from New Orleans:

The extent of intermarriage during the early years is yet another point of reference from which we can measure the distance of their drift away from Judaism. . . . Even after larger numbers of Jews, including whole families, arrived in New Orleans, a high degree of intermarriage continued, probably as much as 50 percent, well into the 1830s. While it is sometimes suggested that intermarriage leads to the disintegration of Jewish loyalties, it is more likely, at least in this New Orleans experience, that the decision to settle in Louisiana, and therefore to marry a Christian, stemmed from a weakening of consciousness of Jewish identity. These men had already, to some degree, abandoned their Jewish nature and become secularized. Practically all of the children of these intermarriages . . . were reared as Christians.94

As illustrated by the Gratz and Mordecai families, it was not just New Orleans or the frontier that led to marriage with non-Jews. Even in cities where sizeable Jewish populations and congregations existed, and among highly traditional families, Jewish men and women chose spouses from the wider community. Similar patterns are seen in Kay Kole’s history of the Minis family of Georgia and in Kenneth Libo and Abigail Kursheedt Hoffman’s history of the Seixas-Kursheedt family of New York, Virginia, and New Orleans. In the context of the larger population in any community, there were relatively few suitable Jewish partners available for other Jews to marry. At the same time, Jews were now considered acceptable and desirable partners by Christians in equivalent socio-economic classes. Intermarriage with well-to-do Christians also expanded business opportunities and economic success.95

Finally, the burial places of Abraham, Fanny, and their children provide a strong indication of the Blocks’ religious associations. Only three out of fourteen members of these two generations are buried in a Jewish cemetery. Abraham and Fanny
made little or no effort to transport the children who died young—Isaac, Simon, and Laura—from Washington to Jewish cemeteries in New Orleans or elsewhere, although this practice existed among Jews. Instead their children were laid to rest in the town’s common burial ground. Juliet, David, and Hester died as adults and also were buried in Washington. Juliet was buried beside her young siblings in Washington’s Pioneer Cemetery, but because she was married, the choice of burial location was her husband’s. The recording of the family’s births, marriages, and deaths in a family Bible, however, attests to her likely conversion. David and Hester were buried in Washington’s Presbyterian Cemetery, which replaced Pioneer Cemetery as the preferred burial location in Washington about the time of the Civil War.96 Supporting the case for their conversion, Hester’s obituary
specifically mentioned her “devout Christian faith,” while David’s wife, Almedia, organized the first Presbyterian church in Miller County, Arkansas, at the age of seventy after moving there to live with a daughter.97

Virginius died in Groesbeck, Texas, where he had business interests. Although his gravesite has not been located, it is probably in Groesbeck. Ellen, Eugene, Henry, and Augustus died in New Orleans, and although Rosina’s death and burial location have not been found, her last known residence was in New Orleans.98 Ellen, Eugene, and some of Rosina’s descendants were buried in New Orleans’s Protestant Girod Cemetery, suggesting conversion or apostasy. Because both the Jewish and non-Jewish members of the family remained connected, it is likely the individual’s choice of religious affiliation, and thus burial location, would have been honored. For example, Ellen’s funeral was held in Augustus’s house, and B. F. Jonas provided the record of her death to the official recorder, so if she had continued as a Jew they would certainly have known.99 Henry and Rosina’s burial locations have not been found, but they are not buried in the Dispersed of Judah Cemetery, where Augustus and their parents are interred.100 Supporting the burial indications, none of the obituaries or death notices, except for Abraham’s, makes any allusion to their Jewish faith, although neither did those of Fanny or Augustus.

Yet Abraham maintained his Jewish identity throughout his life. In January 1844 his name appeared on the Second List of Subscribers for *The Occident and American Jewish Advocate*. This traditionalist periodical was edited by Isaac Leeser who was associated with Richmond and then Philadelphia, two cities with which the Blocks had ties.101 When Abraham died unexpectedly on an annual visit to New Orleans in 1857, that periodical published an obituary spanning three pages. It noted: “[He] had time to pray to the God of Israel, and to invoke a blessing upon his children. He died as the good die: with him a moment of preparation was sufficient. He sleeps according to his cherished wish among his people, in the Portuguese Cemetery, on the Metairie Ridge, in this city.”102 The Dispersed of Judah (Nefutze Judah), a
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Sephardic-rite congregation, owned the cemetery located at Canal and North Anthony streets. The state-wide Arkansas Gazette, in its April 4 issue, also included an obituary that recognized Abraham’s faith: “Few men have lived to the age of Captain Block, who have left more lasting mementoes of a life better spent, with more friends or fewer enemies. His virtues, let us all try to emulate, none can ever hope to excel. The loss to his family and friends is indeed irreparable, but it is a consolation for them to feel, their loss, is his gain, for he has passed from earth to immortality. Truly, ‘A good man has fallen in Israel.’”

Apparently most of the adult Block children departed their Jewish faith. Of them all, only Augustus seems to have remained publicly Jewish. Without letters or diaries, it is impossible to know the process of apostasy or conversion that so many of the Block children clearly followed. Nor is it easy to understand how Abraham, who remained identified with Judaism to the end of his life, could bring his family to a place so far removed from others of his faith and lose most of his children away from Judaism.

Examining the experience of the North Carolina Mordecais offers much insight into what the Blocks may have experienced. During the years when his children were young, Jacob Mordecai had a lackadaisical attitude toward Judaism and a tolerant view of other faiths, including a flirtation with Christianity. This attitude permitted not only settlement in a town without other Jews, but also set the stage for his children’s exploration of faith. By the time Jacob recommitted to Judaism in his later years, a number of his adult children were finding spiritual resonance along different paths, leading to a range of beliefs: committed and nominal Judaism, agnosticism, and personal and evangelistic Christianity. This process in many ways tore the Mordecai family asunder with painful confrontations and battles over the souls and minds of children.

Perhaps Abraham Block, like Jacob Mordecai, was less committed to Judaism in the years he chose to leave Richmond and raise his family in Arkansas. Perhaps he too recommitted to his faith in later years. Abraham may have accepted the inevitability of his children’s apostasy and conversion among the marriage
Abraham Block’s gravestone, Dispersed of Judah Cemetery, New Orleans.
(Courtesy of Catherine C. Kahn, who photographed the
Block tombstone for inclusion in this article.)
pool of Washington, Arkansas, but his Judaism was influential enough to retain at least one son to Judaism. The process of change for the Blocks might well have been turbulent as well.

Business and Politics

Jewish settlers moved from the eastern seaboard into the southern states and western territories typically as merchants to the small towns that were situated as market centers along routes of commerce. Many started as peddlers and others went directly into shopkeeping, but most had self-employment as their goal. All hoped to find a place to prosper. Abraham Block chose just such a town in Washington. As the county seat, it served as the market center for the surrounding county and was also situated on the Southwest Trail, the major route through Arkansas from St. Louis to Texas. The location proved an excellent choice. During the period of Block’s activity, the average number of people served by stores in Arkansas was 370, nearly double that of stores in the East.

Abraham began business in Washington in partnership with John Johnson, who owned mills, a cotton gin, distillery, and store. Abraham established his own mercantile store by 1832, the year Johnson died, and probably at least five years earlier. He conducted business in a fashion typical of frontier merchants, including Jews. Contemporary newspaper advertisements show that the Blocks offered clothing, fabrics and sewing supplies, dry goods, fancy goods, hardware, glassware and dishes, tinware, tools, and groceries. Besides selling directly for themselves, the Blocks also sold goods for others on a commission basis. They handled real estate, cattle, and slaves.

Once a year, usually around March, frontier merchants traveled to wholesale centers to select new merchandise for the coming year and reestablish business relations with wholesalers. Merchants in mid-America, which included Missouri, Illinois, and Iowa, favored the eastern centers, but Arkansas merchants preferred New Orleans as their wholesale market. Spring advertisements of new merchandise offered by the Blocks support this pattern. Their extant advertisements mention New Orleans.
several times, but New York only once. Pieces of ceramics found during archaeological excavations at the Block house bear the printed mark of the New Orleans importers Henderson & Gaines.  

The plantation economy revolved around the agricultural cycle. Planters required a steady supply of tools, seeds, household goods, and other items throughout the year, but they could only pay for those items after the sale of their crops. Merchants typified by the Blocks extended credit for up to a year to their clientele, waiting until after harvest to be paid, customarily by January 1. The entire economy was based on the credit system, for as the Blocks extended credit to local planters, they also had to receive credit from their suppliers and wholesalers. Good businessmen could become prosperous, but a disruption to the system, as happened during the Civil War, could collapse the entire structure. The system also required the Blocks to maintain a close watch on the prosperity of their customers. If a planter failed, a sell-off of land, livestock, and slaves might be necessary to settle debts. If a planter died unexpectedly, the Blocks were required to file a claim on the estate to settle the account, and, in fact, their names appear frequently in the county’s probate records.

As the town of Washington grew and prospered, so did the businesses of Abraham and his sons. The names of the businesses changed every few years as one Block son after the other joined the company. The sons learned to be successful merchants from their father starting as clerks in the family store. As they grew older, they often partnered with their father temporarily, and then set out on their own or in partnership with a brother, making room in Abraham’s store for the younger sons. This pattern of succession was common among Jewish families.

As Abraham’s sons gained experience and maturity, he expanded his business domain, opening the first satellite store in Paraclifta, Sevier County, Arkansas, before 1836. In 1841 he went into partnership with Augustus for three years under the name A. Block and Son. At about the same time, Henry took over a shop at Centre Point in Howard County, Arkansas. Both Augustus and Henry eventually moved to Fulton down the road from
Washington on the Red River. Augustus may have arrived first, but by 1847 the two conducted business under the name of Block, Brother & Co. Business advertisements, which include the mention of warehouses, show that they served as commission, forwarding, and storage merchants in addition to offering general merchandise. Abraham continued in business in Washington under his own name until 1847, when he partnered with David and the business again became A. Block & Son. Soon after, Virginius joined the family firm. Such multiple partnerships, satellite stores, and sons moving into the family business then branching out in surrounding towns typified Jewish business conduct during this and later eras. Jews entered non-agricultural niches that nonetheless depended on the farm economy. Business considerations also encouraged geographic mobility and the spread of Jews and their businesses across the country.

Non-Jewish businessmen also shared family connections, but they tended to accumulate wealth in different ways. For example, James Walker and Ephraim Mirick, who maintained stores when first arriving in Hempstead County, turned their profits into land, slaves, and cotton. Eventually they left the mercantile trade and became successful planters.

The Blocks succeeded in business. Their prosperity is reflected in the value of their personal property as recorded in the county tax records. By 1841 Abraham owned three slaves, a saw mill, a tanyard, and three horses, as well as ten town lots worth $6,000. Eight years later as he entered retirement, he downsized the businesses but still owned five town lots worth $6,000, five slaves, one pleasure carriage, four horses, five head of cattle, gold watches, and jewelry. In 1853 David owned five town lots worth $1,800, one slave, five head of cattle, and gold watches and jewelry. By 1861 David added a pleasure carriage with horses and increased his slaveholdings to five. In addition the Blocks owned numerous real estate investments in Hempstead and nearby counties, taking advantage of one of Arkansas’s most lucrative ventures. Abraham’s landholdings grew in acreage as well as value, increasing from $120 in 1841 to $4,704 in 1854. Over the years, David (with Virginius, his
business partner) owned hundreds of acres, valued at $21,000 by 1860.112

While most Jewish businessmen did not invest in farm land, some southern Jews with the capital did pursue this lucrative venture, as did their non-Jewish counterparts, often maintaining town residences as well. The Rosengartens illustrate several examples of southern Jews who acquired landholdings and developed plantations. Nathan Nathans of Charleston owned about five hundred acres and thirty-five slaves. Other Charlestonians listed as planters in local records included rice-planters Mordecai Cohen and Isaiah Moses, as well as Myer Jacobs, Edward Levy, and Isaac Lyons.113

More typical of Jewish businessmen, however, was the practice of reinvesting capital in other businesses, such as Abraham’s sawmill and tanyard, or in town real estate that brought revenue through rentals, a pattern illustrated by Fanny’s father in Virginia.

After Abraham’s retirement in 1850, David and Virginius continued as merchants in Washington under the name D&V Block. David’s prominence in Hempstead County exceeded that of his father, and he became the most public of the Block sons in the town. He served as Hempstead County treasurer from 1848 to
1854 before winning election to the Arkansas House of Representatives for the Eleventh General Assembly from November 1856 to January 1857. David ran on a Whig ticket in the largely Democratic state, but because of the Whigs’ economic activism, they received more support in commercially-oriented towns and plantation areas like Washington. In the large political picture in Arkansas, however, the parties demonstrated scant differences, and electoral success was accomplished more by community and family connections than party affiliation or ideology. David’s Whig alignment fits his business perspective, but his election also indicates the esteem and popularity in which he was held within his community. Clearly, David thrived in the same political circle as some of his sisters’ non-Jewish husbands.114

Augustus and Henry, in business in Fulton, began looking elsewhere for opportunity. In early 1850 Augustus left the partnership and the following year moved to New Orleans. Henry continued the Fulton business under his own name and added services as an agent for a river packet from Fulton to New Orleans. A few years later, he joined Augustus in New Orleans, and the brothers again joined in a partnership that lasted through the 1850s. They became A&H Block, Wholesale Grocers, supplying, among others, their brothers’ store in Washington, again a typical Jewish family/business arrangement. New Orleans served as the country’s premier center for wholesale groceries due to the farming economy in the Mississippi Valley and access to coffee, cocoa, and sugar from Central and South America, making their business choice logical. By 1860 New Orleans also was host to the largest Jewish community in the South, a fact directly related to the economics of the Gulf and Mississippi region.115

Moving from Fulton to New Orleans reflects a typical pattern among Jewish businessmen who prospered in small towns. When those towns failed to grow into big cities, they moved to established cities with greater opportunity. Harris Kempner of Texas provides another example of this pattern. Kempner began as a peddler before opening a general store in Cold Springs, Texas. He did well in the community, rising to a position of respect in which he served as a banker for county funds. But after fifteen years,
Kempner relocated to Galveston to pursue better business prospects. Others, like the Sangers of Texas, advanced along the opening of small railroad towns until they reached Dallas.\textsuperscript{116}

In 1855 Virginius left the partnership with his brother David and put his house up for sale, suggesting a planned move from Washington. He may have been considering a move to Texas, where he later opened stores. During the 1850s construction of a railroad from Galveston Bay to the Red River began. Since the Red River also served Washington, this would have been an enticing development for the Blocks. Work progressed slowly, however, with the first section of the line going from Houston to Cypress in 1856 and finally reaching about eighty miles to Millican in 1860, where work stopped until after the Civil War.\textsuperscript{117} At about the same time, David spent a year in New Orleans, perhaps investigating other business options, while maintaining his business in Washington. Whatever Virginius or David had in mind apparently did not materialize, and they reorganized in Washington as D. Block & Brother in 1857. Eugene, the youngest brother, did not figure in the public scene in Washington during the 1850s. Still in his twenties, he probably worked as a clerk or junior partner in his brothers’ store. He did, however, serve as a justice of the peace, performing marriage ceremonies for several couples in 1851 and 1853. Although this minor position may have been offered by the Blocks as a form of customer service, it also could have served as a stepping stone into local politics. In 1858 Eugene made an unsuccessful run for the Arkansas House of Representatives on the Whig ticket, but unlike his brother David, this appears to have been his only political foray.\textsuperscript{118}

Within forty years of Abraham’s arrival in Arkansas, the Block men had achieved prominence as merchants and community leaders. They were respected and sought for public service to their community and state. As their wealth accumulated, their business interests grew beyond the town of Washington. The movement of Block sons to New Orleans was part of the internal migration pattern of the family, begun with Abraham’s move from Richmond to Arkansas. Like many other Jews, the Blocks followed along transportation corridors that gave them the best
opportunities for business success. In the years to come, this pattern continued.

The Business of Slavery

In his study of Jews and slavery in the South, Bertram Korn found little difference between southern Jews and their non-Jewish neighbors. Later studies by Robert Rosen and James Hagy reinforce this view. Southern Jews were involved in all aspects of slaveholding, as buyers and sellers, owners and users of slave labor. The major distinctions between Jews and non-Jews were the willingness of Jewish shopkeepers to do business with blacks, unlike their non-Jewish counterparts, and the preponderance of Jews as urban slaveholders.119

Slaveholding and views about slaveholding evolved. At the end of the 1700s in Charleston, for example, more than 80 percent of urban households owned at least a few slaves, but after 1850 slaveholding began to decline in the cities, and by 1860 only about one-fourth of all free southerners were slaveholders. The largest slaveholders were the plantation owners, while urban slaveholders usually owned fewer than five slaves. Jews, who generally did not own plantations, followed the pattern of their urban neighbors.120

Beyond slave ownership, southern merchants often had little choice in whether or not to deal in slaves. As valuable property, slaves were used as collateral for loans, as the means to settle debts, and as the disposable part of estates on the death of the owners. Because of the economic system in practice in the region, which required merchants to extend credit to farmers and planters, slaves could be part of the settlement. Even more so, commission merchants, brokers, and auctioneers in the South were “expected by the public to deal in slaves as readily as in any other sort of merchandise.” Historian James Hagy notes that commission merchants rarely owned the human chattel they dealt in.121

The Blocks, southerners from Virginia and then Arkansas, left a public record as both slave owners and slave sellers. The family’s history regarding slavery, however, seems to evolve from
one of ambivalence to mixed participation, mirroring the changing patterns in southern society, as well as individual expression. Fanny’s mother’s family owned slaves in Maryland and New York as far back as the Revolutionary War. Her father, however, was a reluctant slave owner. Isaiah Isaacs, in partnership with Jacob Cohen, owned several slaves. When the partnership dissolved in 1792, Isaiah received five enslaved individuals, child siblings Jim and Rachel, as well as Hannah, Lucy, and Lucy’s child Polly. Seven years later, Isaacs granted Lucy her freedom.¹²²

In 1803 when he wrote his will, Isaiah took steps to free his remaining slaves:

> Being of opinion that all men are by nature equally free and being possessed of some of those beings who are unfortunate [sic] doomed to slavery, as to them I must enjoin upon my executors a strict observance of the following clause in my will. My slaves hereafter named are to be and they are hereby manumitted and made free so that after the different periods hereafter mentioned they shall enjoy all the privileges and immunities of freed people.¹²³

He then outlined a detailed plan of emancipation specifying different dates, probably related to their ages, and even allowing for as yet unborn children. In addition, he directed that the slaves were not to be sold but remain the property of his children until freed, and when freed, to receive twenty dollars worth of clothing.

Of the slaves Isaiah received when the partnership split, Lucy had already been freed by the time of the will and Hannah was not mentioned, suggesting she might have died in the interim or been freed earlier. The will names siblings Jim and Rachel, Lucy’s daughter Polly, as well as Henry and William. An 1806 codicil to the will names Rachel’s children, Mary and Clement Washington.¹²⁴

While Isaacs’s will indicates his general opposition to slavery, this did not stop him from benefiting from his slaves’ labor or from passing the human property to his children, suggesting that he may have looked on the arrangement as a form of indentured servitude. Isaiah Isaacs was not alone in his views, for a number
of his contemporaries including Thomas Jefferson supported individual manumission. Hagy notes that ten Jews in Charleston freed slaves during this period and that Virginia law did not specifically forbid freeing slaves until 1820.125

In 1823 when Isaiah’s will was settled and the property divided between Fanny and her brother Hays, only two slaves remained from Isaiah’s estate: Harriet, another daughter of Rachel, given to Hays; and Matilda, Polly’s daughter, given to Abraham and Fanny. In the Personal Property Tax records for Richmond, Abraham is listed as owning two slaves from 1815 to 1817 and three slaves in 1818. From 1824 he is listed with only one slave. This suggests that he had Harriet, Matilda, and possibly a child of one of theirs in his household until the property split, and that the single slave in 1824 was Matilda. Matilda also appears in the public record in September 1826. Named as Matilda Drew and identified as a slave of Abraham Block, she was charged with the theft of some food from Grace Marx and subsequently acquitted. Since the Blocks most likely had left Virginia by this time, Matilda, slated to be freed at the age of thirty-one by Isaiah’s will, probably was left behind.126

Because Fanny was so young when Isaiah died, his opinions on slavery may have exerted little influence on her world view. Her uncle David, Isaiah’s brother, however, demonstrated a more intimate perspective on slavery of which Fanny must have been aware. While living in Charlottesville, David took a free mulatto woman, Nancy West, as his common-law wife. The residents of Charlottesville tolerated the relationship as long as they were discreet, but once they began to live openly as a family, members of the community objected. The Albemarle County grand jury indicted them for cohabitation, a charge later reduced to fornication. The court refused to convict them, however, instead recognizing their relationship as a common-law marriage, because to do otherwise would have publicly exposed the common but covert practice of interracial sex.127

David and Nancy’s relationship also illustrates the definition of race as a social construct. Nancy was of mixed race, likely no more than a quarter black, and light-complexioned. Unlike later
times when any trace of African ancestry defined a person as black, during this period sufficiently distant black ancestry did not preclude acceptance by the dominant white culture. Nancy’s brother was considered “white” enough that he legally married a white woman and their children were accepted as white. David and Nancy’s children, although known to be of mixed race, were educated with white children. Perhaps the Isaacs family simply accepted Nancy as white. Thus, it is difficult to know how Fanny might have perceived the actions of her father and uncle. While the Isaacs’s actions regarding race and slavery may not have been unusual for the times, they were certainly at one end of the spectrum. And whatever Fanny may have thought about slavery at this time, she had first cousins of mixed race. Nonetheless, the Blocks’ slaveholding during this period was limited by Isaiah’s directive and not necessarily reflective of their views.\textsuperscript{128}

A better indicator of the Blocks’ involvement in and attitudes toward slavery may be their actions during their years in Arkansas when they were mature enough and prosperous enough to make their own choices. In Arkansas some members of the family became slaveholders and others did not. Only Abraham, Fanny, and David personally owned more slaves than the typical urban dweller. In the Hempstead County tax records, Abraham is first shown owning a single slave in 1839. Through the 1840s, that number slowly increased to five until 1850, when the number jumped to eleven. According to the 1850 Slave Schedule, Abraham and Fanny owned thirteen slaves, six of whom were adults. Both Henry and David paid taxes on their first slaves in 1851. David’s slaves increased to five in 1856. A decade later and after Abraham’s death, Fanny owned six slaves, five of whom were eighteen or older; David owned seven slaves, two of whom were adults and two teenagers. Rosina owned a single slave in 1850, and Virginius did not own a personal slave until 1858. Neither Augustus nor Eugene appear ever to have owned slaves in Hempstead County, although in Eugene’s case this may have had less to do with ideology than finances.\textsuperscript{129}

In addition to their personal slaveholding, the Blocks also held slaves as a function of their mercantile activities. Linda
McDowell’s study of slaves and freedmen in Hempstead County records twelve purchases but only one slave sold by the Blocks. All but one purchase was made by the Blocks in partnership, and, in fact, three are specifically identified as collateral for loans. Although the enslaved individuals were named in most of the transactions, it is not known if any (except one family) became Block personal property, reverted to the original owners upon payment of their debt, or were sold as part of the business. The Blocks did not advertise as slave dealers, however, and these limited transactions represented normal business as southern merchants. Only the firm of D&V Block seems to have exceeded the norm. The firm of David and Virginius began owning slaves by 1852 and, in 1856, advertised for sale a one thousand-acre plantation and thirty-two slaves, suggesting a direct involvement in cotton planting. They also retained eighteen slaves, perhaps as a crew of workmen. Historian Richard Wade notes that hiring out was a common practice in the urban setting and created a source of flexible labor. While Washington could hardly be considered urban, the need for extra hands for town projects and seasonal agricultural labor would have provided the Blocks with another source of income.

In Arkansas during the antebellum period of 1840 to 1860, only one in five taxpayers owned slaves, and 56 percent of those owned fewer than five. In 1850 only 9 percent of slaveholders owned twenty or more slaves and thus fit the definition of large planter. Both Donald McNeilly and Carolyn Earle Billingsley conclude, however, that the planter class was broader and deeper than only numbers of slaves would suggest, and included professional men and extended kin in the planter social network, even though individually they owned fewer slaves. While large numbers of slaves were needed for planting operations, fewer slaves were required for town-based businesses and professions. McNeilly subdivides slaveholders into “small planters” owning ten to nineteen slaves and “slaveholding yeomen” owning less than ten.

The fact that the Blocks owned slaves at all places them in the minority and indicates their status and prosperity. Abraham,
Fanny, and David Block owned more slaves than would be expected for townspeople, suggesting that some may have been shop workers in addition to domestic servants, but certainly indicative of the Blocks’ higher economic status and thus need for additional servants. The planter-status number of slaves owned by D&V Block suggests an expanded business venture, while the fewer slaves owned by other family members were probably personal servants. While those family members who did not own slaves may have been expressing personal views, this may instead simply reflect the beginnings of their careers and families when they had neither the resources nor need for slaves. Thus, the Blocks were little different from their southern, urban neighbors regarding slaveholding in the decades they lived in Arkansas prior to the Civil War. Their personal lives reflect a continued participation in the business of slavery, while their businesses directly involved them in the buying and selling of humans. They also relied on surrounding plantation owners as customers and thus depended on the well-being of the slave-based, agrarian economy.

Civil War and Reconstruction

Changes in the Block family beginning just prior to the Civil War reflect the upheavals in the South as well as the passing of generations. This included relocation for better opportunities in business and other aspects of life. As discussed above, although most of the Blocks remained in Washington during the 1850s, Augustus, Henry, and Rosina reestablished themselves in New Orleans early in that decade.

As the closing years of the 1850s brought the South closer to secession, personal loss came to the Block family with the death of patriarch Abraham Block. After Abraham’s retirement, he and Fanny made annual visits to New Orleans to visit family. On March 17, 1857, during one of those visits, Abraham died suddenly, probably of a heart attack. At seventy-six years of age, his death should not have been completely unexpected, but his family and friends were shocked nonetheless. With his passing, Abraham’s sons became the primary movers in Block business ventures. Washington and New Orleans continued to serve as
their bases of operation as the onset of the Civil War led to commercial disruption and eventually new directions.\textsuperscript{133}

The rumblings of discontent with the Union continued through the late 1850s until war finally broke out with the bombardment of Fort Sumter in April 1861. The next four years proved difficult for southerners, and certainly for southern businessmen like the Blocks, who operated on the credit system. Yet their actions during this period show that the Blocks used their expertise to support the Confederacy while also seeking new business opportunities.

Augustus and Henry apparently disbanded A&H Block, the wholesale grocery business, around the beginning of the Civil War, probably to pursue other business ventures and not because of pending hostilities. In 1861 Augustus joined in partnership with J. J. Jonas, likely a brother-in-law, as Block & Jonas, a tobacco agency. Henry partnered with James McCandlish in Block & Co., a grocery firm.\textsuperscript{134} When New Orleans fell to Union forces on April 25, 1862, however, the occupation and blockade disrupted normal commercial practices of shipping and trade. Residents who refused to take the oath of allegiance to the United States were ordered to leave the city, and, as Robert Rosen notes, a mass exodus of the Jewish population followed. Augustus’s obituary reported that the war interrupted his business and that he lived with family in Arkansas and Texas. The birth of one of his children in Arkansas during that time supports the account. The war ended with Robert E. Lee’s surrender on April 9, 1865, and Augustus returned to New Orleans in time for the birth of a son that June. In an act of honor toward the Confederacy, and a bit of defiance, he named the boy after the beloved, defeated general.\textsuperscript{135}

After the war, Augustus quickly recovered in business, suggesting that despite the disruption the war caused, it did not destroy the trade relationships and networks he had forged. By 1866 he partnered with his nephew Abraham Brittin (Rosina’s son) as Block & Brittin, one of the leading wholesale grocers in New Orleans with a large building on Canal Street. The firm eventually became Block, Brittin & Co., adding partners Henry Block and James McCandlish. In 1871, only six years after the end of the
war, Augustus, then in his mid-fifties, was sufficiently established that he retired from the firm. He continued to pursue other community business ventures, serving as a stockholder in the Canal Bank, a promoter of the Crescent City Railroad Company, and a member of the Cotton Exchange.136

Jewish and Christian business people commonly followed this pattern of reinvestment to diversify, build profits, and develop a sounder foundation for economic success. Another example of this can be seen in Harold Hyman’s study of the Kempner family of Galveston, Texas. As Harris Kempner profited from his wholesale grocery business and cotton factoring, he reinvested in banks, railroads, steamships, a hotel, and various manufacturing firms, and also supported projects such as the Deep Water Committee to improve port facilities. By using profits from merchandising to invest in economic infrastructure, like banks and railroads, these businessmen encouraged the growth of their cities and improved their economic environment, which then led to even more financial success.137

Henry’s success in business paralleled that of Augustus, since they were partners through many years. Yet in 1870 Henry’s personal estate was valued at $45,000, which exceeded that of Augustus’s combined personal and real estate by three and half times. He likely pursued additional investments. Less is known about Henry, suggesting that he took a less public role than his brother. A similar pattern is seen among the Sanger Brothers who rose from dry goods merchants to department store moguls in Dallas after the Civil War. One brother became heavily involved in civic activities and promotion, while another excelled in behind-the-scenes, business management.138

In Washington, David built a successful niche, expanding business ventures into land holdings in his partnership with Virginius. The 1861 tax lists for Hempstead County showed the partnership owning over six thousand acres of land in ninety-three parcels.139 The town had grown, too. By 1861 there were a half-dozen dry-goods merchants, as well as those specializing in medicines, groceries, and liquors, and a tailor, watchmaker, harness maker, shoemaker, photographer, carpenters, and brick
masons. Among the professional residents were about a dozen physicians and numerous lawyers and judges. Washington was far enough west to escape being the site of bloody battles during the Civil War, but residents rallied to the southern cause, contributing twenty companies and military leaders. The population swelled as refugees and soldiers escaped the battleground. Townspeople opened homes and outbuildings to provide shelter for civilians and officers, while soldiers bivouacked in surrounding fields. When Union troops captured Little Rock, the state capital, on September 10, 1863, the Arkansas government fled to Washington, bringing its records and officials. The Hempstead County courthouse served as the capitol building from then until the end of the war. This influx of people provided an additional financial opportunity for merchants like the Blocks as long as supply lines could be kept open. With New Orleans blockaded and the years of war deepening, however, supplies ran low.

David emerged as a community leader with his service in local and statewide offices. During the Civil War years, he combined his support for the Confederacy with his skills as a businessman. In 1862 Hempstead County voters encouraged him to run for both the Arkansas Senate and House, although he declined both. In March 1863 he was appointed Arkansas’s general agent of the Produce Loan to the Confederate States. In this capacity, he promoted the program to state planters, encouraging them with the potential profitability of selling their cotton to the Confederate government in exchange for bonds. In August of that year, as the program faced financial problems, he and Dr. Benjamin Jett, Hester’s husband, traveled to the Confederate capital of Richmond, likely to deal with his work as an agent. In September David was recommended for the position of vice president of the newly formed Hempstead County Confederate Association.

The Jetts also thrived in Washington and supported the Confederacy. Besides his profession as a physician, Benjamin Jett also owned a drug store and, like David, served a term in the Arkansas House of Representatives. Two of the Jetts’s sons, Edward and
Benjamin Jr., served the Confederacy in the Hempstead Rifles and the Seventeenth Arkansas Infantry.\textsuperscript{143}

As demonstrated by the Blocks’ service and sentiment, they clearly displayed loyalty to the South. Southern Jews served the Confederacy in various capacities. Many joined military forces, such as Harris Kempner who joined the Twelfth Texas Cavalry Regiment, or Francis Minis Myers of Georgia who participated in a number of battles and was promoted to the rank of captain. Others, like David Block, offered their business skills to the Confederate cause. Solomon Solomon of New Orleans, who was in his forties at the outbreak of the war, served the Confederacy as a suttler, supplying clothing and equipment to troops in Virginia. His duties required him to travel with the troops and remain away from his New Orleans home. Several of the Jonas brothers of New Orleans joined as soldiers but filled positions as quartermaster and commissary, as did Raphael Moses of Georgia. This use of southern Jews’ commercial skills to support the Confederacy reflected their particular adaptation to southern life.\textsuperscript{144}

When the Civil War ended, many southern fortunes had vanished and the economy was disrupted if not destroyed. Planters had been unable to grow, harvest, or sell their crops. Many business people like the Blocks, dependent on a plantation economy, lost their fortunes, which were tied up in the business, in extending credit, and in land investments. A description of the reverses of David and Virginius’s firm following the war indicates the devastation of the southern economy and its reach into Washington:

For many years the house [of] A. Block & Son, and afterwards of D.&V. Block was one of the most extensive commercial establishments in the State. By industry, energy, and honesty, they built up a business and a name which will be remembered for long years to come. . . . They were distinguished for their generous liberality and were ever the kind friends of the poor and unfortunate. By the results of the war, the princely fortune which years of toil had accumulated, was swept away, and like all who took part with the South, [Virginius] was left poor and embarrassed with debt.\textsuperscript{145}
Like his brother Augustus in New Orleans, David’s business acumen and community and trade connections positioned him for a successful, even quick, recovery despite these setbacks. Unfortunately, fate intervened. On December 21, 1865, just eight months after the war ended, David Block died. Only forty-two years old, he left Almedia with seven children, the youngest of whom had barely turned one. As if that was not devastating enough, a week later Hester’s husband, Dr. Benjamin Jett, also died. Their youngest was just ten years old. This was a double tragedy not only for the Block family, but also for the town of Washington, which, not yet recovered from the war, now lost two of its most outstanding leaders. David, whose death was unexpected, had not prepared a will, leaving Almedia to settle his affairs and Virginius to close out the business. Much property had to be sold at a time when few had money to buy it. It took Almedia four years to settle the estate and discharge her duties as executrix.¹⁴⁶

It was not unusual in Hempstead County for women to be named as executrixes. Beginning in the records of the 1820s and continuing into the 1860s when David died, women were frequently named to settle the estates of their husbands, sometimes sharing the role with a male, but often as sole executrix. As mentioned above, Almedia later organized a Presbyterian church in Miller County, Arkansas, supporting evidence of her managerial skills.¹⁴⁷

Virginius had explored other business opportunities in the middle 1850s before rejoining David in Washington in 1857 as D. Block & Brother. In the early 1860s, they operated as D&V Block. After the Civil War broke out, Virginius expanded Block business ventures into Texas. Migrations to Texas along the Southwest Trail through Washington had begun as early as the 1820s with Stephen Austin and continued throughout the years. With the outbreak of the Civil War, the Texas population grew as Arkansas planters took their slaves and moved to Texas hoping to protect their property and escape the reach of the federal government. Where people settled, business opportunities followed, and Virginius joined the migration to Texas in 1863.
The railroad that had begun in the 1850s (later, the Houston & Texas Central Railroad), linking Galveston Bay northward toward the Red River, stopped at Millican until the war ended. The terminus of the railroad was an extremely important business
location. Thousands of people moved to Millican within the first year of the railroad’s arrival, and the merchants’ reach extended into the interior of Texas via stage lines and ox-drawn wagons. By August 1867 the railroad pushed north of Millican to Bryan. From there the route expanded rapidly to Hearne (1868), Calvert (June 1869), Kosse (late 1869), Groesbeck (late 1870), and Corsicana (1871), until it reached Dallas in July 1872. The railroads were also important for outbound trade and the migration of settlers. By 1870 half of the state’s cotton crop left via Galveston Bay, and in 1872, 100,000 people arrived in Texas. The 1870s brought many Jewish settlers to the state as well; by 1872 1,500 Jews lived in Texas.148

Merchants who followed the railroad on its northern trek achieved tremendous success. Probably the best example, as Rose Biderman chronicles, was that of the Sanger Brothers, Jewish dry goods merchants who began along the H&TC line in Millican and rose to the level of “merchant princes” in Dallas. They pushed northward with the railroad, opening new stores at each terminus, while maintaining the earlier stores by bringing in siblings, in-laws, and cousins to manage the stores. When the railroad reached Dallas, the Sangers arrived with stock within days. But Dallas was different from the other towns, for it also attracted an east-west railroad, which allowed it to become a transportation hub and the mercantile capital for southwest Texas. Thus, merchants who came to Dallas found an ideal environment to grow their businesses in some cases, like the Sangers, into empires.149

Arriving in Texas in 1863, Virginius probably established a store in Millican, the H&TC terminus, much like the Sangers. His obituary places him in Bryan, which the railroad reached in 1867. By 1870 he was in Calvert in Robertson County and was joined by his brother Eugene. Six months after the railroad arrived, 104 businesses were located in the town, including Block Brothers & Co., wholesale and retail grocers. Their specialty linked them to New Orleans, the main supply hub for the Southwest, and to Augustus and Henry, who undoubtedly supplied them from their wholesale grocery firm out of the port city. Virginius was listed in the census for that year as a commission merchant and Eugene as
a hardware merchant, suggesting a further expansion of their business. From Calvert, Virginius pushed on to Kosse in Limestone County, where in 1871 he was appointed alderman when the city government was organized. In the same year, Block Brothers opened a store at the next terminus of Groesbeck, which grew to over fifteen thousand people in its first year. Days before his planned move to Corsicana, Virginius died unexpectedly on October 17, 1871, just four months prior to his last child’s birth. He was forty-four years old. Clearly, Virginius was following a business pattern that paralleled the Sangers. If not for his untimely death, he almost certainly would have continued on to Dallas, where the expansion of business opportunities likely would have brought him as much success as that of Augustus in New Orleans.\(^{150}\)

Eugene never left much of an impact wherever he lived. As the youngest brother, he followed the family into the Block businesses, but he may have been less ambitious than his siblings. He left Washington for New Orleans at the end of the Civil War and by 1870 joined Virginius in Calvert, Texas. Eugene remained in Calvert as Virginius expanded the stores northward, and continued there after Virginius’s death. Never married, he lived in a boarding house with other unmarried men. On a visit back to New Orleans on March 2, 1875, he was stricken with a heart attack on a street corner and passed away at the age of forty-six.\(^{151}\)

The early deaths of David, Virginius, and Eugene—all of whom died in their early to middle forties—may well be attributed to genetics in combination with the dietary habits of the times. Meals were large, food was fried in butter or lard, and vegetables were given little regard, all factors we understand today that can lead to high cholesterol and heart disease.\(^{152}\) Whatever the cause, these were the brothers outside of New Orleans who would have expanded the Block businesses into a regional network. David built on what Abraham started in Washington, while Virginius and Eugene continued their father’s pattern of settling along a transportation corridor on the advancing frontier, in their case finding new business opportunities in Texas along the railroad lines.
Other Jews who arrived in Texas followed similar patterns, setting up family members at distribution points and opening new areas. Although the Sangers were among the most successful, Natalie Ornish notes this was a typical pattern for Jewish families coming to Texas. Even smaller merchants built their businesses at good locations, such as Galveston, and through family connections. The pattern continued to the turn of the twentieth century, as indicated by historians Hollace Weiner and Kenneth Roseman, with the foundation of such firms as Zale Corporation, Neiman-Marcus, and the Levy Brothers. Indeed, if fate had not intervened, the Blocks may well have been on their way to creating their own mercantile dynasty.

The Final Deaths

The lives of the surviving Blocks concluded in patterns typical of the times. Decisions to relocate were influenced by family concerns and aging, and deaths took them in ways shared by the larger population.

After the Civil War, Fanny Block and her two youngest and unmarried children, Eugene and Ellen, moved to New Orleans. Times were likely difficult in Washington immediately after the war as Reconstruction began. Nonetheless prosperity returned quickly enough so that by 1874 the county built a new courthouse. In 1866, however, Washington may have seemed a sad place to Fanny as family dispersed or died. She may have chosen New Orleans to join the cluster of her older children, provide better opportunities for Eugene and Ellen, and to return to a Jewish religious community.

While these Blocks undoubtedly hoped for a better future in New Orleans, the move unfortunately coincided with one of the city’s recurrent yellow fever epidemics. In 1867 the disease proved particularly severe, evidenced by the long lists of dead in the *Daily Picayune’s* daily mortuary report. In the September 21 issue, a short notice announced Ellen’s death, while a later notice in the *Arkansas Gazette* attributed it to yellow fever. She joined over three thousand people who succumbed to the epidemic in New Orleans that year.
Fanny lived for five years in New Orleans, boarding in the household of Rosina’s married daughter. This seventy-five-year-old family matriarch died November 17, 1871, exactly one month after Virginius’s death. Her long life had begun in Virginia, been nurtured in Arkansas, and ended in Louisiana. Her husband and nine of her fourteen children had preceded her in death. An obituary in the Washington Telegraph sang her praises, but the words were generic as fit the times, with nothing that distinguished her either as a Jewish woman or accomplished beyond that of a typical wife and mother.156

Only two families of the Block children—that of Hester, the Blocks’ oldest child, who had married Benjamin Jett, and David’s, now headed by his widow, Almedia—remained in Washington. Both had established families that were raised as Christians, and, by the close of the Civil War, their oldest children were young adults beginning lives of their own in Arkansas. Only when they were elderly did Hester and Almedia leave Washington to live with adult children, a common practice. Thus, Hester died in Little Rock on September 10 or 11, 1887, at the age of seventy-four. Her body was returned to Washington for burial beside her husband.157

In New Orleans, Henry and Augustus lived out the remainder of their lives. Henry suffered a stroke and died there on February 18, 1882, at the age of sixty-two.158 Augustus was the last of the Block siblings to die and the one who attained the oldest age. He died in his seventy-eighth year in a freak accident. On Thursday morning, February 6, 1896, Augustus walked into the Gallier Court building on Carondelet and, as the Daily Picayune reported:

He noticed the gate of the elevator shaft open and he walked in to wait for the elevator to come down. As he did he fell, and before he could regain his feet the elevator descended. He quickly saw the danger and cried out, but before it could be stopped the elevator descended on him, seriously injuring him about the head and body.159

He succumbed to his injuries the following day.160
Conclusion

This story of the Block family offers insights into the typical Jewish experience in America, as well as the more specific adaptation of Jews in the American South. While the Block’s individual decisions and experiences were personal, they fit within the context of the historical period and the cultures of Jewish and southern life.

The process of internal migration was characteristic of the Jewish experience. In the first generations, Jews moved up and down the eastern seaboard looking for business opportunities. As western states opened to settlement, descendants of those first families joined new immigrants from Europe who moved west to find opportunities, not on the wilderness frontier, but within new and promising towns. In most cases, the migration patterns were connected by family networks as relatives and friends followed the pioneers. Abraham Block came to America as an immigrant from Bohemia and settled in Richmond, where a Jewish community was already growing. Seeking better opportunities, he moved to the frontier of Arkansas, but chose a county seat situated on a transportation route that provided prime opportunities for success. One son continued that success in the Arkansas town Abraham had chosen, but other sons, in sibling partnerships, continued his pattern of internal migration, seeking new opportunities in the trade port of New Orleans or along the rail line reaching into interior Texas.

An almost universal male Jewish experience in the westward movement was that of the itinerant peddler. Through thrift and good business management, the peddler graduated to a shop in town and worked to grow his business. Because Abraham began his trek west from the foundation of his Richmond properties, he was able to skip the peddling stage and instead find a business partner to begin as a merchant in Arkansas. His sons also used their profits from businesses begun in Arkansas to expand, without peddling, into new areas. Also typical of successful Jewish entrepreneurs, the Blocks reinvested their profits into new businesses, such as mills and plantations, or supported civic
improvements, such as the Cotton Exchange in New Orleans. They represented the New South imagery decades before it became popular during the mid-1880s.

Southern Jews shared these business practices with Jews who settled in the small towns of the Midwest and far West. What distinguished southern Jews was their identification with southern culture, most noticeable in their adherence to the Confederacy and in slaveholding. Southern Jews demonstrated support for the Confederacy through service in the military, by election to government positions, and by applying their mercantile skills to the cause. Abraham’s son David served as Arkansas’s agent for the Confederate Produce Loan and as an officer in the local Confederate Association, following a stint as a state representative. Several of the Blocks owned slaves, using them as household servants and in their businesses, befitting their status as wealthy community members. In these ways they partially fit historians Ferris and Greenberg’s model of the “power of place,” otherwise belied by their willingness to up-root for economic opportunity.

Finally, through an examination of family life, the Blocks’ retention of their Jewish heritage or assimilation into the larger society is revealed. Choices of marriage partners, burial locations, and food items indicate that most—but not all—of the Block children abandoned their faith and assimilated into the Christian community. Such a pattern is typical of the American Jewish experience. Settling in a town without a Jewish community certainly contributed to assimilation, but as revealed, even in towns with large Jewish populations, Jewish families often were split by marriage outside the faith. When those marriages occurred, however, Jews found partners among high-status members of the community, as did the Blocks, whose daughters married doctors, lawyers, and merchants. Even with assimilation some members of Jewish families, as with the Blocks, strengthened their bonds with Judaism. Thus, through the historical record of families like the Blocks, we come to appreciate the individual choices and expressions of lives within the larger context of the American Jewish experience.
Appendix

Descendants of Abraham Block and Frances Isaacs Block

Abraham Block (c. 1780–1857) m. Frances Isaacs (1796–1871)

1 Infant son Block (c. 1812–before 1830)

2 Hester Block (1813–1887) m. Dr. Benjamin Pendleton Jett (1808–1865)
   2.1 Edward Davenport Jett (c. 1835–before 1911)
   2.2 Benjamin Pendleton Jett Jr. (c. 1837–1917)
   2.3 Rosena V. Jett (c. 1838–before 1911)
   2.4 Emma P. Jett (c. 1840–before 1911)
   2.5 Mary Jett (c. 1842–before 1911)
   2.6 William Augustus Jett (1846–1923)
   2.7 Almedia Jett (1850–1929)
   2.8 Sevier Jett (1852–1899)
   2.9 Eugene Block Jett (1853–after 1927)
   2.10 Charles H. Jett (1855–1920)
   2.11–2.13 Three infants

3 Simon Block (1815–1833)

4 Rosina Block (c. 1816–after 1870) m. Edwin Brittin (d. by 1850)
   4.1 Frances Brittin (1838–after 1850)
   4.2 Abraham Ludlow Brittin (1840–1840)
   4.3 Abraham Brittin (1841–1932)
   4.4 William Isaac Brittin (1844–1846)
   4.5 Flora Brittin (1845–1894)

5 Isaac (Isaacs) Block (1817–1828)

6 Augustus Block (1818–1896) m. Lucia Jonas (d. 1903)
   6.1 Bertha Block (1857–1918)
   6.2 Frederick Block (c. 1859–c. 1896)
   6.3 Theodora “Dora” J. Block (c. 1862–1928)
   6.4 Robert Lee Block (1865–1866)
   6.5 Louisa Block (1867–aft. 1913)
   6.6 Augustus Block Jr. (1870–after 1920)
7 Henry Block (1820–1882) m. Laura—(b. c. 1834)
   7.1 Juliet P. Block (c. 1851–1878)
   7.2 Abraham Block (c. 1852–1867)
   7.3 Emma J. Block (b. c. 1856)

8 Infant son Block (c. 1821–before 1830)

9 David Block (1823–1865) m. Almedia Trimble (c. 1832–1909)
   9.1 Rosina Block (c. 1848–1908)
   9.2 Abraham Block (1851–1901)
   9.3 David Walter Trimble Block (c. 1854–1876)
   9.4 Frances Block (c. 1855–1916)
   9.5 Estelle Block (1859–1886)
   9.6 Ellen Block (b. c. 1861)
   9.7 Juliet Block (1864–1914)

10 Virginius Block (1827–1871) m. (1) Lenora Tunstall (d. 1866)
   10.1 Tunstall Block (c. 1861–by 1880)
   10.2 Virginius Block Jr. (1864–after 1920)

   m. (2) Sarah Tunstall (d. after 1913)
   10.3 Lenora Block (b. 1869)
   10.4 Cora Block (b. 1872)

11 Eugene Block (c. 1829–1875)

12 Juliet Pauline Block (1830–1858) m. Orville Jennings (1825–1866)
   12.1 Edwin Brittin Jennings (1853–1888)
   12.2 Ernest Jennings (1855–1882)
   12.3 Laura Ida Jennings (1856–1859)
   12.4 Chester Jennings (1857–1919)
   12.5 Julius Jennings (1858–1858)

13 Rosalie Ellen Block (c. 1833–1867)

14 Laura C. (or E.) Block (1835–1853)
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